



# ICLG

## The International Comparative Legal Guide to: **Lending & Secured Finance 2019**

**7th Edition**

A practical cross-border insight into lending and secured finance

Allen & Overy LLP  
Anderson Mori & Tomotsune  
Asia Pacific Loan Market Association (APLMA)  
Astrea  
Baker & McKenzie LLP  
Bravo da Costa, Saraiva – Sociedade de Advogados  
Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP  
Carey  
Carey Olsen Jersey LLP  
Cordero & Cordero Abogados  
Crales & Urcullo  
Cuatrecasas  
Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP  
Debevoise & Plimpton LLP  
Dechert LLP  
Dillon Eustace  
Drew & Napier LLC  
E & G Economides LLC  
E. Schaffer & Co.  
Fellner Wratzfeld & Partners  
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP  
Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP  
Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.

Haynes and Boone, LLP  
Hogan Lovells International LLP  
Holland & Knight  
HSBC  
IKT Law Firm  
Jadek & Pensa  
JPM Janković Popović Mitić  
Kelobang Godisang Attorneys  
King & Wood Mallesons  
Latham & Watkins LLP  
Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law  
Lloreda Camacho & Co.  
Loan Market Association  
Loan Syndications and Trading Association  
Loyens & Loeff Luxembourg S.à r.l.  
Macesic & Partners LLC  
Maples Group  
Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal  
McMillan LLP  
Milbank LLP  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP  
Morrison & Foerster LLP  
Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP

Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP  
Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP  
Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd  
Pinheiro Neto Advogados  
PLMJ Advogados  
Ploum  
Proskauer Rose LLP  
Rodner, Martínez & Asociados  
Sardelas Liarikos Petsa Law Firm  
Seward & Kissel LLP  
Shearman & Sterling LLP  
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP  
Škubla & Partneri s. r. o.  
SZA Schilling, Zutt & Anschutz  
Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH  
Trofin & Asociații  
TTA – Sociedade de Advogados  
Wakefield Quin Limited  
Walalangi & Partners (in association with Nishimura & Asahi)  
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP  
White & Case LLP





**Contributing Editor**  
Thomas Mellor, Morgan,  
Lewis & Bockius LLP

**Publisher**  
Rory Smith

**Sales Director**  
Florjan Osmani

**Account Director**  
Oliver Smith

**Senior Editors**  
Caroline Collingwood  
Rachel Williams

**Editor**  
Sam Friend

**Group Consulting Editor**  
Alan Falach

**Published by**  
Global Legal Group Ltd.  
59 Tanner Street  
London SE1 3PL, UK  
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720  
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255  
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk  
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

**GLG Cover Design**  
F&F Studio Design

**GLG Cover Image Source**  
iStockphoto

**Printed by**  
Stephens & George  
Print Group  
April 2019

Copyright © 2019  
Global Legal Group Ltd.  
All rights reserved  
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-912509-65-2  
ISSN 2050-9847

Strategic Partners



Editorial Chapters:

1	<b>Loan Syndications and Trading: An Overview of the Syndicated Loan Market</b> – Bridget Marsh & Tess Virmani, Loan Syndications and Trading Association	1
2	<b>Loan Market Association – An Overview</b> – Nigel Houghton & Hannah Vanstone, Loan Market Association	6
3	<b>Asia Pacific Loan Market Association – An Overview</b> – Andrew Ferguson, Asia Pacific Loan Market Association (APLMA)	12

General Chapters:

4	<b>An Introduction to Legal Risk and Structuring Cross-Border Lending Transactions</b> – Thomas Mellor & Marcus Marsh, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	15
5	<b>Global Trends in the Leveraged Loan Market in 2018</b> – Joshua W. Thompson & Corey Fevzi, Shearman & Sterling LLP	20
6	<b>Developments in Delayed Draw Term Loans</b> – Meyer C. Dworkin & Samantha Hait, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	26
7	<b>Commercial Lending in a Changing Regulatory Environment, 2019 and Beyond</b> – Bill Satchell & Elizabeth Leckie, Allen & Overy LLP	30
8	<b>Acquisition Financing in the United States: Will the Boom Continue?</b> – Geoffrey R. Peck & Mark S. Wojciechowski, Morrison & Foerster LLP	34
9	<b>A Comparative Overview of Transatlantic Intercreditor Agreements</b> – Lauren Hanrahan & Suhrod Mehta, Milbank LLP	39
10	<b>A Comparison of Key Provisions in U.S. and European Leveraged Loan Agreements</b> – Sarah M. Ward & Mark L. Darley, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	46
11	<b>The Global Subscription Credit Facility and Fund Finance Markets – Key Trends and Forecasts</b> – Michael C. Mascia & Wesley A. Misson, Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP	59
12	<b>Recent Developments in U.S. Term Loan B</b> – Denise Ryan & Kyle Lakin, Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP	63
13	<b>The Continued Growth of European Covenant Lite</b> – James Chesterman & Jane Summers, Latham & Watkins LLP	70
14	<b>Cross-Border Loans – What You Need to Know</b> – Judah Frogel & Jonathan Homer, Allen & Overy LLP	73
15	<b>Debt Retirement in Leveraged Financings</b> – Scott B. Selinger & Ryan T. Rafferty, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP	82
16	<b>Analysis and Update on the Continuing Evolution of Terms in Private Credit Transactions</b> – Sandra Lee Montgomery & Michelle Lee Iodice, Proskauer Rose LLP	88
17	<b>Secondments as a Periscope into the Client and How to Leverage the Secondment Experience</b> – Alanna Chang, HSBC	95
18	<b>Trade Finance on the Blockchain: 2019 Update</b> – Josias Dewey, Holland & Knight	98
19	<b>The Global Private Credit Market: 2019 Update</b> – Jeff Norton & Ben J. Leese, Dechert LLP	104
20	<b>Investment Grade Acquisition Financing Commitments</b> – Julian S.H. Chung & Stewart A. Kagan, Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP	109
21	<b>Acquisition Financing in Latin America: Navigating Diverse Legal Complexities in the Region</b> – Sabrena Silver & Anna Andreeva, White & Case LLP	114
22	<b>Developments in Midstream Oil and Gas Finance in the United States</b> – Elena Maria Millerman & John Donaleski, White & Case LLP	121
23	<b>Margin Loans: The Complexities of Pre-IPO Acquired Shares</b> – Craig Unterberg & LeAnn Chen, Haynes and Boone, LLP	127
24	<b>Credit Agreement Provisions and Conflicts Between US Sanctions and Blocking Statutes</b> – Roshelle A. Nagar & Ted Posner, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	132
25	<b>SOFR So Good? The Transition Away from LIBOR Begins in the United States</b> – Kalyan (“Kal”) Das & Y. Daphne Coelho-Adam, Seward & Kissel LLP	137

Continued Overleaf ➡

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

General Chapters:

26	<b>Developments in the Syndicated Term Loan Market: Will Historical Distinctions from the High-Yield Bond Market Be Restored?</b> – Joseph F. Giannini & Adrienne Sebring, Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP	141
27	<b>Green Finance</b> – Alex Harrison & Andrew Carey, Hogan Lovells International LLP	144
28	<b>U.S. Tax Reform and Effects on Cross-Border Financing</b> – Patrick M. Cox, Baker & McKenzie LLP	149

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

29	<b>Angola</b>	Bravo da Costa, Saraiva – Sociedade de Advogados / PLMJ: Bruno Xavier de Pina & Joana Marques dos Reis	159
30	<b>Argentina</b>	Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal: Juan M. Diehl Moreno & Diego A. Chighizola	165
31	<b>Australia</b>	King & Wood Mallesons: Yuen-Yee Cho & Elizabeth Hundt Russell	174
32	<b>Austria</b>	Fellner Wratzfeld & Partners: Markus Fellner & Florian Kranebitter	183
33	<b>Belgium</b>	Astrea: Dieter Veestraeten	193
34	<b>Bermuda</b>	Wakefield Quin Limited: Erik L Gotfredsen & Jemima Fearnside	199
35	<b>Bolivia</b>	Crales & Urcullo: Andrea Mariah Urcullo Pereira & Daniel Mariaca Alvarez	207
36	<b>Botswana</b>	Kelobang Godisang Attorneys: Wandipa T. Kelobang & Laone Queen Moreki	214
37	<b>Brazil</b>	Pinheiro Neto Advogados: Ricardo Simões Russo & Leonardo Baptista Rodrigues Cruz	221
38	<b>British Virgin Islands</b>	Maples Group: Michael Gagie & Matthew Gilbert	230
39	<b>Canada</b>	McMillan LLP: Jeff Rogers & Don Waters	237
40	<b>Cayman Islands</b>	Maples Group: Tina Meigh	247
41	<b>Chile</b>	Carey: Diego Peralta	255
42	<b>China</b>	King & Wood Mallesons: Stanley Zhou & Jack Wang	262
43	<b>Colombia</b>	Lloreda Camacho & Co.: Santiago Gutiérrez & Juan Sebastián Peredo	269
44	<b>Costa Rica</b>	Cordero & Cordero Abogados: Hernán Cordero Maduro & Ricardo Cordero B.	276
45	<b>Croatia</b>	Macesic & Partners LLC: Ivana Manovelo	284
46	<b>Cyprus</b>	E & G Economides LLC: Marinella Kilikitas & George Economides	292
47	<b>Denmark</b>	Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP: Thomas Melchior Fischer & Peter Lyck	300
48	<b>England</b>	Allen & Overy LLP: David Campbell & Oleg Khomenko	307
49	<b>Finland</b>	White & Case LLP: Tanja Törnkvist & Krista Rekola	316
50	<b>France</b>	Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP: Emmanuel Ringeval & Cristina Radu	324
51	<b>Germany</b>	SZA Schilling, Zutt & Anschutz Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH: Dr. Dietrich F. R. Stiller & Dr. Andreas Herr	335
52	<b>Greece</b>	Sardelas Liarikos Petsa Law Firm: Panagiotis (Notis) Sardelas & Konstantina (Nantia) Kalogiannidi	344
53	<b>Hong Kong</b>	King & Wood Mallesons: Richard Mazzochi & Khin Voong	352
54	<b>Indonesia</b>	Walalangi & Partners (in association with Nishimura & Asahi): Luky I. Walalangi & Siti Kemala Nuraida	360
55	<b>Ireland</b>	Dillon Eustace: Conor Keaveny & Richard Lacken	366
56	<b>Israel</b>	E. Schaffer & Co.: Ehud (Udi) Schaffer & Shiri Ish Shalom	375
57	<b>Italy</b>	Allen & Overy Studio Legale Associato: Stefano Sennhauser & Alessandra Pirozzolo	381
58	<b>Ivory Coast</b>	IKT Law Firm: Annick Imboua-Niava & Osther Tella	390
59	<b>Japan</b>	Anderson Mori & Tomotsune: Taro Awataguchi & Yuki Kohmaru	396
60	<b>Jersey</b>	Carey Olsen Jersey LLP: Robin Smith & Laura McConnell	404
61	<b>Luxembourg</b>	Loyens & Loeff Luxembourg S.à r.l.: Antoine Fortier-Grethen	414
62	<b>Mexico</b>	Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.: José Ignacio Rivero Andere & Jacinto Avalos Capin	422
63	<b>Mozambique</b>	TTA – Sociedade de Advogados / PLMJ: Gonçalo dos Reis Martins & Nuno Morgado Pereira	430

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

64	<b>Netherlands</b>	Ploum: Tom Ensink & Alette Brehm	437
65	<b>Portugal</b>	PLMJ Advogados: Gonalo dos Reis Martins	445
66	<b>Romania</b>	Trofin & Asociații: Valentin Trofin & Mihaela Atanasiu	452
67	<b>Russia</b>	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP: Grigory Marinichev & Alexey Chertov	462
68	<b>Serbia</b>	JPM Janković Popović Mitić: Nenad Popović & Nikola Poznanović	470
69	<b>Singapore</b>	Drew & Napier LLC: Pauline Chong & Renu Menon	477
70	<b>Slovakia</b>	Škubla & Partneri s. r. o.: Marián Šulík & Zuzana Moravčíková Kolenová	487
71	<b>Slovenia</b>	Jadek & Pensa: Andraž Jadek & Žiga Urankar	494
72	<b>South Africa</b>	Allen & Overy LLP: Lionel Shawe & Lisa Botha	504
73	<b>Spain</b>	Cuatrecasas: Manuel Follía & Iñigo Várez	514
74	<b>Sweden</b>	White & Case LLP: Carl Hugo Parment & Tobias Johansson	525
75	<b>Switzerland</b>	Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd: Oliver Widmer & Urs Klöti	532
76	<b>Taiwan</b>	Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law: Hsin-Lan Hsu & Odin Hsu	541
77	<b>UAE</b>	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP: Victoria Mesquita Wlazlo & Amanjit K. Fagura	549
78	<b>USA</b>	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP: Thomas Mellor & Rick Eisenbiegler	564
79	<b>Venezuela</b>	Rodner, Martínez & Asociados: Jaime Martínez Estévez	576

EDITORIAL

Welcome to the seventh edition of *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Lending & Secured Finance*.

This guide provides corporate counsel and international practitioners with a comprehensive worldwide legal analysis of the laws and regulations of lending and secured finance.

It is divided into three main sections:

Three editorial chapters. These are overview chapters and have been contributed by the LSTA, the LMA and the APLMA.

Twenty-five general chapters. These chapters are designed to provide readers with an overview of key issues affecting lending and secured finance, particularly from the perspective of a multi-jurisdictional transaction.

Country question and answer chapters. These provide a broad overview of common issues in lending and secured finance laws and regulations in 51 jurisdictions.

All chapters are written by leading lending and secured finance lawyers and industry specialists and we are extremely grateful for their excellent contributions.

Special thanks are reserved for the contributing editor Thomas Mellor of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP for his invaluable assistance.

Global Legal Group hopes that you find this guide practical and interesting.

The *International Comparative Legal Guide* series is also available online at [www.iclg.com](http://www.iclg.com).

Alan Falach LL.M.  
Group Consulting Editor  
Global Legal Group  
Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk

# Loan Syndications and Trading: An Overview of the Syndicated Loan Market

Bridget Marsh



Tess Virmani



## Loan Syndications and Trading Association

In the past 30 years, the art of corporate loan syndications, trading, and investing has changed dramatically. There was a time when banks lent to their corporate borrowers and simply kept those loans on their books, never contemplating that loans would be traded and managed by investors like stocks and bonds in a portfolio. In time, however, investors became drawn to the attractive features of loans. Unlike bonds, loans were senior secured debt obligations with a floating rate of return, and, over the years, an institutional asset class emerged. Today, such loans are not only held by banks but are also typically sold to other banks, mutual funds, insurance companies, structured vehicles, pension funds, and hedge funds. This broader investor base has brought a remarkable growth in the volume of loans being originated in the primary market and subsequently traded in the secondary market. The syndicated loan market represents one of today's most innovative capital markets.

In 2018, total corporate lending in the United States surpassed \$2.6 trillion.<sup>1</sup> This figure encompasses all three subsectors of the syndicated loan market – the investment grade market, the leveraged loan market, and the middle market. In the investment grade market, total lending exceeded \$1 trillion in 2018. Most lending in the investment grade market consists of revolving credit facilities to larger, more established companies. The leveraged loan market, where loans are made to companies with non-investment grade ratings (or with high levels of outstanding debt), represented approximately \$1.2 trillion.<sup>2</sup> Leveraged loans are typically made to companies seeking to refinance existing debt, to finance acquisitions or leveraged buyouts, or to fund projects and other corporate endeavours such as dividend recapitalisations. Leveraged loans comprise the overwhelming majority of loans that are traded in the secondary market. Then there is the middle market. As traditionally defined, middle market lending includes loans of up to \$500 million that are made to companies with annual revenues of under \$500 million.<sup>3</sup> For these companies, the loan market is a primary source of funding. In 2018, overall middle market lending totalled approximately \$365 billion.<sup>4</sup>

Of these three market segments, it is the leveraged loan market that has evolved most dramatically over the past 30 years. Attracted by the higher returns of the loan asset class, the investor base expanded significantly starting from the mid-1990s and has grown increasingly more diverse. This, in turn, fuelled demand for loans, leading to a commensurate rise in loan origination volumes in the primary market. For the loan market to grow successfully, for the loan asset class to mature, and to ease the process of trading and settlement, the new entrants to the market in the 1990s needed uniform market practices and standardised trading documentation. In response to these needs, the Loan Syndications and Trading Association (“LSTA” or “Association”) was formed in 1995, and its mission since inception

has included the development of best practices, market standards, and trading documentation. The LSTA has thus successfully spearheaded efforts to increase the transparency, liquidity, and efficiency of the loan market; in turn, this more standardised loan asset class has directly contributed to the growth of a robust, liquid secondary market.

The LSTA's role has expanded to meet new market challenges, assuming more prominence in the loan market generally and, particularly in recent years, the LSTA has regularly engaged with the U.S. government and its regulatory bodies on legislative and regulatory initiatives. Policymaking in the wake of the financial crisis had included sweeping changes to the financial industry, including to the loan market, even though the regulatory impact on the loan market was sometimes an unintended byproduct of reform legislation aimed somewhere else. The LSTA has, therefore, dedicated substantial time and energy over the past decade to building awareness amongst regulators about the loan market and how it functions, seeking to distinguish it from other markets and, at times, persuading policymakers to exempt the loan market from particular legislative measures.

Having established a more mature regulatory outreach programme, the LSTA now maintains a dialogue about the loan market with regulators and promoting the many benefits of a vibrant leveraged loan market for U.S. companies.

This chapter examines: (i) the history of the leveraged loan market, focusing on the growth and maturation of the secondary trading market for leveraged loans; (ii) the role played by the LSTA in fostering that growth through its efforts to standardise the practices of, and documentation used by participants active in, the secondary loan market to bring greater transparency to the loan asset class; and (iii) the regulatory challenges faced by the loan market.

### Growth of the Secondary Market for Leveraged Loans

The story of the leveraged loan market starts about 30 years ago in the United States, with the first wave of loan market growth being driven by the corporate M&A activity of the late 1980s. Although a form of loan market had existed prior to that time, a more robust syndicated loan market did not emerge until the M&A deals of the 1980s and, in particular, those involving leveraged buy-outs (LBOs), which required larger loans with higher interest rates. This had two significant consequences for the loan market. First, because banks found it difficult to underwrite very large loans on their own, they formed groups of lenders – syndicates – responsible for sharing the funding of such large corporate loans. Syndication enabled the banks

to satisfy market demand while limiting their own risk exposure to any single borrower. Second, the higher interest rates associated with these large loans attracted non-bank lenders to the loan market, including traditional bond and equity investors, thus creating a new demand stream for syndicated loans. Retail mutual funds also entered the market at this time and began to structure their funds for the sole purpose of investing in bank loans. These loans generally were senior secured obligations with a floating interest rate. The resultant asset class had a favourable risk-adjusted return profile. Indeed, a non-bank appetite for syndicated leveraged loans would be the primary driver of demand that helped propel the loan market's growth.<sup>5</sup>

Although banks continued to dominate both the primary market (where loans are originated) and the secondary market (where loans are traded), the influx of the new lender groups in the mid-1990s saw an inevitable change in market dynamics within the syndicated loan market. In response to the demands of this new investor class, the banks, which arranged syndicated loans, began modifying traditional deal structures, and, in particular, the features of the institutional tranche or term loan B, that portion of the deal which would typically be acquired by the institutional or non-bank lenders. The size of these tranches was increased to meet (or create) demand, their maturity dates were extended to suit the lenders' investment goals, and their amortisation schedules tailored to provide for only small or nominal instalments to be made until the final year when a large bullet payment was scheduled to be made by the borrower. In return, term loan B lenders were paid a higher rate of interest. All these structural changes contributed to a more aggressive risk-return profile, which was necessary in order to still attract more liquidity to the asset class.

A true secondary market for leveraged loans in the United States emerged in the 1990s. During the recession of the early 1990s, default rates rose sharply, which severely limited the availability of financing, particularly in transactions involving financing from regional and foreign banks. Interest rates to non-investment grade borrowers thus increased dramatically. Previously, banks had carried performing loans at par or face value on their balance sheets, while valuations below par (expected sale prices) were only generally assigned to loans that were in or near default. During the credit cycle of the early 1990s, however, a new practice developed in the banking industry. As banks in the U.S. sought to reduce their risk and strengthen their balance sheets, they chose to sell those leveraged loans which had declined in value since their syndication, rather than hold the loans until their maturity date as they had in the past. In so doing, a new distressed secondary market for leveraged loans emerged, consisting of both traditional (bank) and non-traditional (non-bank) buyers. Banks were not simply originators of these loans but now were also loan traders, and thus, in their role as market makers, began to provide liquidity for the market.

Although leveraged lending volume in the primary market had reached approximately \$100 billion by 1995, trading activity was still relatively low, standing at approximately \$40 billion.<sup>6</sup> The early bank loan trading desks at this time initially acted more as brokers than traders, simply brokering or matching up buyers and sellers of loans. As liquidity improved and the lender base expanded, investors began to look to the secondary market as a more effective platform from which to manage their risk exposure to loans, and eventually active portfolio management through secondary loan trading was born. With the advent of this new and vibrant secondary loan market, there naturally was a greater need for standard trading documents and market practices which could service a fair, efficient, liquid, and professional trading market for commercial loans – a need reflected in the LSTA's creation in 1995. (The LSTA and its role in the development of a more standardised loan market are discussed more fully below, under "The Standardisation of a Market".)

Around the same time, the loan market acquired investment tools similar to those used by participants in other mature markets; for example, a pricing service, bank loan ratings, and other supporting vendor services. In 1996, the LSTA established a monthly dealer quote-based secondary mark-to-market process to value loans at a price indicative of where those loans would most likely trade. This enabled auditors and comptrollers of financial institutions that participated in secondary trading to validate the prices used by traders to mark their loan positions to "market". Within a few years, however, as leveraged lending topped \$300 billion and secondary trading volume reached \$80 billion, there was a need to "mark-to-market" loan positions on a more frequent basis.<sup>7</sup> In 1999, this led to the LSTA and Thomson Reuters Loan Pricing Corporation jointly forming the first secondary mark-to-market pricing service run by an independent third party to provide daily U.S. secondary market prices for loan market participants. Shortly thereafter, two other important milestones were reached, both of which facilitated greater liquidity and transparency. First, the rating agencies began to make bank loan ratings widely available to market participants. Second, the LSTA and Standard & Poor's together created the first loan index, the S&P/LSTA Leveraged Loan Index (LLI), which has become the standard benchmarking tool in the industry. Just as the market's viability was on the rise, so was its visibility. In 2000, the Wall Street Journal began weekly coverage of the syndicated loan market and published the pricing service's secondary market prices for the most widely quoted loans. All these tools – the pricing service, the bank loan ratings, the loan index, and the coverage of secondary loan prices by a major financial publication – were important building blocks for the loan market, positioning it for further successful growth.

At about this time, the scales tipped, and the leveraged loan market shifted from a bank-led market to an institutional investor-led market comprised of finance and insurance companies, hedge, high-yield and distressed funds, loan mutual funds, and structured vehicles such as collateralised loan obligations or "CLOs". Between 1995–2000, the number of loan investor groups managing bank loans grew by approximately 130 per cent and accounted for more than 50 per cent of new deal allocations in leveraged lending. By the turn of the millennium, leveraged lending volume was approximately \$310 billion and annual secondary loan trading volume exceeded \$100 billion as illustrated in the chart below. With these new institutional investors participating in the market, the syndicated loan market experienced a period of rapid development that allowed for impressive growth in both primary lending and secondary trading.

Unfortunately, as the credit cycle turned and default rates increased sharply in the early 2000s, there was a temporary lull in the market's growth, with secondary loan trading stalled for a number of years. By 2003, however, leveraged lending (and trading) volumes quickly rebounded as investor confidence was restored.

Even the most bullish of loan market participants could not have predicted the rate of expansion that would take place over the next four years. Once again, this growth was driven by M&A activity and large LBOs. Increasing by nearly 200 per cent from 2003–2007, leveraged loan outstandings were more than half a trillion dollars and secondary trading volumes reached \$520 billion. Although hedge funds, loan mutual funds, insurance companies, and other investor groups played a large part in this phase of the loan market's expansion, the growth had only been possible because of the emergence of CLOs. This structured finance vehicle changed the face of the leveraged loan market and was also responsible for its revival after the Global Financial Crisis.

The 2008 Global Financial Crisis led to a recession in the United States, a contraction of global supply and demand, and record levels of default rates. Several years passed before leveraged lending issuance was restored to pre-crisis levels, finally reaching \$665

billion in 2012. Although secondary trading activity had been in steady decline from 2008 through 2012, the asset classes' investment thesis (senior secured, floating rate, high risk-adjusted return) coupled with the investment tools put in place years earlier and the standardisation of legal and market practices helped the market to expand further during its next phase which began in 2013. Since 2013, annual secondary loan trade volumes have grown almost without interruption, reaching a record \$720 billion in 2018.

### The Standardisation of a Market

No regulatory authority directly oversees or sets standards for the trading of loans in the United States, although, of course, loan market participants themselves are likely to be subject to other governmental and regulatory oversight. Instead, the LSTA leads the loan market by developing policies, guidelines, and standard documentation and promoting just and equitable market practices. The LSTA's focus is attuned to the distinctive structural features of the loan market which stem from the fact that corporate loans are privately negotiated debt obligations that are issued and traded subject to voluntary industry standards. Because the LSTA represents the interests of both the sellers and buyers of leveraged loans in the market, it serves as a central forum for the analysis and discussion of market issues by these different market constituents and thus is uniquely placed to balance their needs and drive consensus.

Loan market participants have generally adopted the standardised documents and best practices promulgated by the LSTA. The LSTA is active in the primary market, where agent banks originate syndicated loans, and in the secondary market, where loan traders buy and sell syndicated loans. The LSTA has an ever-growing library of documents for use in the primary market, including a new form of a complete credit agreement for investment grade borrowers which was published in 2017, all of which are generally used by market participants. Over the years, the Association has published a suite of standard trading documents: forms or "trade confirmations" are available to evidence oral loan trades made by parties and form agreements are available to document the terms and conditions upon which the parties can settle those trades. The universal adoption of the LSTA's standard trading documents by the market has directly contributed to the growth of a robust, liquid secondary market.

It is customary for leveraged loans to be traded in an over-the-counter market, and, in most instances, a trade becomes legally binding at the point the traders orally agree the material terms of the trade. Those key terms are generally accepted as including the borrower's name, the name, facility type, and amount of the loan to be sold, and the price to be paid for the loan. For commercial reasons, most U.S. borrowers choose New York law as the law governing their credit agreements, and for similar reasons, the LSTA has chosen New York as the governing law in their trading documentation. Since 2002, loan trades agreed over the telephone, like agreements relating to derivatives contracts and certain other financial instruments, have benefited from an exemption from a New York law which would otherwise require them to be set forth in a signed writing to be enforceable. Because of the LSTA's lobbying efforts, the applicable New York law was changed in 2002 to facilitate trading. Thus, provided both parties have traded together previously on LSTA standard documentation, even if one party fails to sign a confirmation evidencing the terms of the trade, the loan trade will be legally binding and enforceable, if it can be shown that the parties orally agreed the material trade terms. This was a critical legislative reform that contributed to legal certainty in the loan market and harmonised its status with that of other asset classes.

After agreeing the essential trade terms, loan market practice requires that parties then execute a form of LSTA trade confirmation (the legislative change discussed above merely makes it possible legally to enforce an oral trade even if a confirmation has not been signed). Loans can be traded on what is referred to as par documentation or on distressed documentation. Two forms of trade confirmations are available for this purpose and the choice of which one to use is a business decision made at the time of trade. Performing loans, where the borrower is expected to pay in full and on a timely basis, are typically traded on par documentation which means that the parties evidence their binding oral trade by executing an LSTA Par Confirmation and then settling the trade by completing the form of Assignment Agreement provided in the relevant credit agreement (the term par is used because performing loans historically traded at or near par). Alternatively, where a borrower is in, or is perceived to be in, financial distress or the market is concerned about its ability to make all interest payments and repay the loan in full and on a timely basis, parties may opt to trade the borrower's loans on distressed documentation. In this case, the trade is documented on an LSTA Distressed Confirmation, and the parties settle the transaction by executing the relevant assignment agreement and a supplemental purchase and sale agreement. The LSTA has published a form agreement for this purpose which has been refined over the years and is generally used by the market. This agreement includes, amongst other provisions, representations and warranties, covenants, and indemnities given by seller and buyer. The adoption of standard documents in this regard, particularly for distressed debt trading, significantly contributed to a more liquid loan market, for market participants, knowing that an asset is being traded repeatedly on standard documents, can then uniformly price the loan and more efficiently settle the trade.

When a loan is traded, the existing lender of record agrees to sell and assign all of its rights and obligations under the credit agreement to the buyer.<sup>8</sup> In turn, the buyer agrees to purchase and assume all of the lender's rights and obligations under the credit agreement. The parties must then submit their executed assignment agreement to the administrative agent which has been appointed by the lenders under the credit agreement. The borrower's and agent's consent is typically required before the assignment can become effective. Once those consents are obtained, the agent updates the register of lenders, and the buyer becomes a new lender of record under the credit agreement and a member of the syndicate of lenders.<sup>9</sup>

If, for some reason, the borrower does not consent to the loan transfer to the buyer, the parties' trade is still legally binding under the terms of the LSTA's Confirmation and must be settled as a participation.<sup>10</sup> The LSTA has published standardised par participation agreements and distressed participation agreements which may be used to settle par and distressed trades, respectively, where loan assignments are not permissible. Under this structure, the seller sells a 100 per cent participation interest in the loan to the buyer and retains bare legal title of the loan. Although the seller remains a lender of record under the credit agreement and the borrower will not typically be aware that a participation interest in the loan has been sold, the seller must pass all interest and principal payments to the buyer for so long as the participation is in place. The transfer of a participation interest on LSTA standard documents is typically afforded sale accounting treatment under New York law. Thus, if the seller of the participation becomes a bankrupt entity, the participation is not part of the seller's estate, and the seller's estate will have no claim to the participation or the interest and principal payments related thereto.

The LSTA continues to expand its suite of trading documents and has increasingly played a more active role in the primary market. Building on the publication of the second edition of *LSTA's Complete Credit Agreement Guide* in 2017, the LSTA released its

first form of a complete credit agreement, an unsecured revolving credit facility designed to be used by investment grade borrowers, and is currently working on a leveraged credit facilities term sheet and then will release its complete credit agreement for leveraged finance transactions. Finally, the LSTA continues to expand its suite of documents for making, trading, and settling loans to borrowers domiciled in certain jurisdictions in Latin America, releasing documents for use with borrowers in Mexico in 2018.

### Leaving LIBOR and Going Green? A Look at 2019 and Beyond

Looking back at 2018, two topics grabbed the attention of market participants: first, the potential phase-out of LIBOR and preparations for the transition to replacement benchmarks; second, on a more positive note, the U.S. introduced the first sustainable syndicated loan products, joining the likes of many countries in EMEA and APAC.

After the UK's Financial Conduct Authority, the regulator of ICE LIBOR, announced in 2017 that panel banks have only agreed to submit quotes through 2021, loan markets across the globe have grappled with the uncertainty that LIBOR will continue to be the prevailing benchmark of the financial markets. A transition to a new benchmark, particularly for legacy transactions, is a big undertaking and a smooth transition will certainly require participation and collaboration from all market participants. To help coordinate the U.S. loan market in this process, the LSTA co-chairs the business loans working group organised by the U.S. Federal Reserve-sponsored Alternative Reference Rates Committee (ARRC). The ARRC is tasked with spearheading the transition away from LIBOR across asset classes. There is much work to be done in the coming years, but it has been seen as most important to ensure that new financial instruments have fallback language to address a LIBOR discontinuance. To that end, in 2018 the ARRC released market consultations on fallback language for syndicated loans and bilateral loans. This market feedback will be used to refine the proposed fallback language and the ARRC will publish a final recommendation in 2019. Another area of great focus is the operational changes to market participants' systems that will be required for the new benchmark. 2019 (and beyond) will see much attention in this area as well as a heightened focus on the development of term rates for SOFR, the presumed successor to USD LIBOR, and the development of a spread adjustment to make SOFR more comparable to LIBOR.

At the same time as concerns over LIBOR's robustness have led to the possible phase-out of the ubiquitous benchmark, separately, we have seen environmental and sustainability considerations find their way into nearly all aspects of our consciousness—whether at a corporate or individual level. This is clearly reflected in the observed increase in sustainable finance. While the majority of activity in this space has been on the equities side, the fixed income markets have also seen the development of green and sustainable products. The global volume of green and sustainability transactions has grown, as has the standardisation around these products. On the loan side, 2018 was a landmark year. The Americas saw their first green loans—and on the heels of that activity, the LSTA, along with the Loan Market Association (LMA) and Asia Pacific Loan Market Association (APLMA), published global Green Loan Principles (GLP). In addition, the first sustainability-linked loans emerged in the U.S.

Green loans build on the success of green bonds, which are use of proceeds bonds that align with certain transparency and reporting components. Both green bonds and green loans look to facilitate and support environmentally sustainable activity by offering financing for one or more eligible green projects. The Green Bond Principles

(GBP), maintained by the International Capital Market Association, are internationally recognised voluntary issuance guidelines that promote transparency, disclosure and reporting in the green bond market. As financial market participants have grown to recognise the GBP and a standard green bond, the development of green loans as an alternative financing option has been a natural evolution. The GLP build on and refer to the GBP to ensure consistency across both products. The GLP adopt the same four core components as the GBP: 1) the proceeds of the loan are used to finance “green projects” as described in the GLP; 2) the borrower has developed a process for green project evaluation and selection; 3) the borrower manages and tracks the use of the loan proceeds; and 4) the borrower makes and keeps available up-to-date information on the use of proceeds. The GLP also include a recommendation for an external review, where appropriate. These core components together promote transparency, disclosure and reporting, which in turn lends integrity to the green loan product.

While green loans have the advantage of kinship with green bonds – a well-known and booming product – the necessary linkage of their proceeds with *projects* can prove challenging in the context of the corporate loan market. Many corporate loans are used for general corporate purposes. In light of this reality, a separate product has emerged. Sustainability-linked loans are loans where the borrower is incentivised, typically through loan pricing, to meet predetermined sustainability performance targets. Unlike green loans, the use of loan proceeds is not a factor. If a borrower meets the predetermined target, there is a discount in the borrower's cost of borrowing (or a premium if the borrower fails to meet the target). In this way, sustainability-linked loans represent one of the most direct ways of incentivising a borrower to improve its sustainability profile. Through the setting of meaningful performance targets, lenders can make a real impact in motivating companies—whether those borrowers are already leaders in sustainability or just beginning to work toward sustainable goals. The LSTA, LMA and APLMA are developing a voluntary framework to address these important loans. Like the GLP, this framework will have the advantage of being a global standard, but the core components are necessarily different. In the context of sustainability-linked loans, attention must be paid to how performance targets are set in each transaction, as well as ensuring sufficient transparency to lenders with respect to this performance through reporting and external review, where appropriate. It is the hope that this next framework will provide standardisation and growth while protecting the integrity of sustainability-linked loans.

### Conclusion

The U.S. corporate loan market continues to evolve and expand, continually adapting to new challenges, including legal, regulatory, and economic challenges. In this environment, the LSTA remains committed to promoting a fair, efficient, and liquid market for loans and maintaining its position as the market's principal advocate. Looking forward, the loan market will see continued, and perhaps even increased, focus on the phase-out of LIBOR and sustainable lending. Both are areas where the LSTA hopes to be of service to its membership and the broader loan market. The LSTA will continue to provide leadership on the transition to replacement benchmarks through its work on the ARRC. Likewise, the LSTA hopes to encourage the growth of sustainable loan products as well as preserve flexibility and foster innovation in this dynamic space. To this end, the LSTA will continue to offer voluntary standard frameworks, where appropriate, as well as educate loan market participants on sustainable lending.

## Endnotes

1. Thomson Reuters Loan Pricing Corporation.
2. Thomson Reuters Loan Pricing Corporation. “Leveraged” is normally defined by a bank loan rating by Standard & Poor’s of BB+ and below (by Moody’s Investor Service, Ba1 and below) or, for non-rated companies, typically an interest rate spread of LIBOR + 125 basis points.
3. For a more detailed description on the loan market sectors, see Peter C. Vaky, Introduction to the Syndicated Loan Market, in *The Handbook Of Loan Syndications & Trading*, 39 (Allison Taylor and Alicia Sansone, eds., 2007); Steve Miller, Players in the Market, in *The Handbook Of Loan Syndications & Trading*, *supra*, 47.
4. Thomson Reuters Loan Pricing Corporation.
5. For a more detailed description of the history of the loan market, see Allison A. Taylor and Ruth Yang, Evolution of the Primary and Secondary Leveraged Loan Markets, in *The Handbook Of Loan Syndications & Trading*, *supra*, 21.
6. Thomson Reuters Loan Pricing Corporation.
7. Thomson Reuters Loan Pricing Corporation.
8. For a detailed comparison of assignments and participations, see Michael Bellucci and Jerome McCluskey, *The LSTA’s Complete Credit Agreement Guide*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., 541–542 (McGraw-Hill 2016).
9. For further information on the structure of assignments, see *id.* at 543–561.
10. For further information on the structure of participations, see *id.* at 561–567.

**Bridget Marsh**

Loan Syndications and Trading Association  
366 Madison Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
USA

Tel: +1 212 880 3004  
Email: [bmarsh@lsta.org](mailto:bmarsh@lsta.org)  
URL: [www.lsta.org](http://www.lsta.org)

Bridget Marsh is Executive Vice President and Deputy General Counsel of the Loan Syndications and Trading Association (LSTA). Bridget leads the legal projects for the development and standardisation of the LSTA’s documentation.

Prior to joining the LSTA, Bridget practised as a corporate finance attorney at Milbank, New York, and as a lawyer in the corporate/M&A department of Simmons & Simmons, London, and completed a judicial clerkship for The Honorable Justice Beaumont of the Federal Court of Australia. She is a Regent of the American College of Commercial Finance Lawyers and a Fellow of the American Bar Foundation.

Bridget Marsh received a B.A. *magna cum laude* from Georgetown University, a law degree with first class honours from Sydney Law School, University of Sydney, and a Masters in Political Science from the University of New South Wales. She is admitted as an attorney in New York, England & Wales, and New South Wales, Australia.

**Tess Virmani**

Loan Syndications and Trading Association  
366 Madison Avenue, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10017  
USA

Tel: +1 212 880 3006  
Email: [tvirmani@lsta.org](mailto:tvirmani@lsta.org)  
URL: [www.lsta.org](http://www.lsta.org)

Tess Virmani is Senior Vice President & Associate General Counsel of the Loan Syndications and Trading Association (LSTA). Ms. Virmani works with the LSTA’s Primary Market Committee and Trade Practices and Forms Committee on legal projects for the development, standardisation and revision of the LSTA’s documentation and is also involved in resolving secondary loan market trading disruptions. In addition, Ms. Virmani leads the LSTA’s Green Loans and Sustainable Loan working group. Ms. Virmani participates in the LSTA’s advocacy efforts, including engagement with regulators and working on industry solutions to address market developments, such as the transition to alternative benchmarks.

Prior to joining the LSTA, Ms. Virmani practised as a finance attorney at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP in New York. She received a B.S. from the Walsh School of Foreign Service at Georgetown University and a J.D. from Fordham University School of Law. She is admitted as an attorney in New York.



Since 1995, the Loan Syndications and Trading Association has been dedicated to improving liquidity and transparency in the floating rate corporate loan market. As the principal advocate for this asset class, we aim to foster fair and consistent market practices to advance the interest of the marketplace as a whole and promote the highest degree of confidence for investors in floating rate corporate loans. The LSTA undertakes a variety of activities to foster the development of policies and market practices designed to promote just and equitable marketplace principles and to encourage coordination with firms facilitating transactions in loans and related claims. For more information, please visit [www.lsta.org](http://www.lsta.org).

## Current titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Anti-Money Laundering
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Cybersecurity
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Financial Services Disputes
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Investor-State Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Investment Funds
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms

**glg** global legal group

59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255  
Email: [info@glgroup.co.uk](mailto:info@glgroup.co.uk)

[www.iclg.com](http://www.iclg.com)